







Total 360 Areas: 189 (53%) with gains, 104 (29%) with losses, 67 unchanged. US total construction employment change: +2.2% (seasonally adjusted).

Most Jobs Added

Area ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	January '24	January '25	12-month	12-month	Rank ³ based on:	
				gains/loss	% change	gain/loss % change	
1 Boise City, ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	33,700	38,100	4,400	13%	1	6
2 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	162,800	167,100	4,300	3%	2	107
3 Houston-Pasadena-The Woodlands, TX	Construction	225,900	229,900	4,000	2%	3	141
4 Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL Div.	Construction	57,000	60,600	3,600	6%	4	54
5 New Orleans-Metairie, LA	Construction	23,000	26,300	3,300	14%	5	5
6 Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	Construction	91,500	94,700	3,200	3%	6	107
7 Nashville-DavidsonMurfreesboroFranklin, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	61,900	64,900	3,000	5%	7	70
8 Fort Worth-Arlington-Grapevine, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	82,100	85,000	2,900	4%	8	89
9 Salt Lake City-Murray, UT	Mining, Logging, and Construction	57,300	60,100	2,800	5%	9	70
10 Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	85,200	87,900	2,700	3%	10	107

Most Jobs Lost

Area ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	January '24	January '25	12-month gain/loss	12-month % change	Rank ³ based on: gain/loss % change	
1 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	151,700	143,900	-7,800	-5%	360	325
2 Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	Construction	115,600	109,100	-6,500	-6%	359	343
3 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	140,200	135,500	-4,700	-3%	358	299
4 Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div.	Construction	105,400	100,800	-4,600	-4%	357	312
5 Oakland-Fremont-Berkeley, CA Div.	Construction	73,000	68,800	-4,200	-6%	356	343
6 Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	79,200	75,700	-3,500	-4%	355	312
7 Jersey City-White Plains, NY-NJ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	68,300	65,600	-2,700	-4%	354	312
8 Seattle-Bellevue-Kent, WA Div.	Construction	71,600	69,000	-2,600	-4%	353	312
9 St. Louis, MO-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	73,100	71,000	-2,100	-3%	352	299
10 Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	18,400	16,600	-1,800	-10%	348	357
10 San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	Construction	53,100	51,300	-1,800	-3%	348	299
10 Cambridge-Newton-Framingham, MA Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	57,400	55,600	-1,800	-3%	348	299
10 Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	78,500	76,700	-1,800	-2%	348	284

Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	January '24	January '25	12-month gain/loss	12-month % change	Rank ³ based on: gain/loss % change	
1 Kokomo, IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	2,200	600	38%	54	1
2 Battle Creek, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,900	300	19%	93	2
3 Anniston-Oxford, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,200	1,400	200	17%	114	3
4 Evansville, IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,300	9,600	1,300	16%	25	4
5 New Orleans-Metairie, LA	Construction	23,000	26,300	3,300	14%	5	5
6 Boise City, ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	33,700	38,100	4,400	13%	1	6
6 Coeur d'Alene, ID	Construction	6,700	7,600	900	13%	39	6
6 Jackson, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,300	2,600	300	13%	93	6
9 Las Cruces, NM	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,200	4,700	500	12%	64	9
10 Paducah, KY-IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,500	3,900	400	11%	78	10
10 Lansing-East Lansing, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,000	10,000	1,000	11%	36	10
10 Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,300	9,200	900	11%	39	10
10 Logan, UT-ID	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,700	4,100	400	11%	78	10

Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	January '24	January '25	12-month gain/loss	12-month % change	Rank ³ based on: gain/loss % change	
1 Elizabethtown, KY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	1,900	-1,200	-39%	341	360
2 Cleveland, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,200	-400	-15%	318	359
3 Monroe, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,200	1,900	-300	-14%	306	358
4 Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	18,400	16,600	-1,800	-10%	348	357
5 Flagstaff, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,300	3,000	-300	-9%	306	356
6 Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,800	4,400	-400	-8%	318	354
6 Pueblo, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	3,700	-300	-8%	306	354
8 Fort Collins-Loveland, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,400	10,600	-800	-7%	335	351
8 Slidell-Mandeville-Covington, LA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,700	6,200	-500	-7%	325	351
8 Corvallis, OR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,500	1,400	-100	-7%	257	351

Footnotes:

¹Area: Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div). MSA totals are shown but not included in rankings to avoid double counting of the divisions.

²Industry: The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. AGC attributes all employment in these metros to construction. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³Rank: BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data, rounded to the nearest 100, for 360 metro areas. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)

⁴New York City: BLS posts mining, logging, and construction data for the New York-Jersey City-White Plains metro division and for the New York City "special area," which is part of the metro division. To avoid double counting, these tables treat New York City and Jersey City-White Plains (excluding NYC) as separate areas.